

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/723,823	11/28/2000	Mark R. Holl	10-98Н	7107
	590 10/23/2003		EXAMINER	
	WINNER AND SULLIV TTAN CIRCLE	SIEFKE, SAMUEL P		
SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER, CO 80303			1743	

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	09/723,823	HOLL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC. DATE AND	Samuel P Siefke	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	August 2003	
	his action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	/ance except for formal matters are	
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-30</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with tom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exam	iner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	prionty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
To a sum of cobies of the priority documents	s have been received.	·
— Topics of the phoney documents	s have been received in Application	No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the prior application from the prior application for a list of the prior application from the prior application		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been received	and .
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	14/01 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	E\	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)
Palent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Acti	ion Summary	Part of Paner No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/723,823

- Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims **17-27** are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-3,6-10,12-14 of copending Application No. 09/428,839. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/723,823

'Art Unit: 1743

Claims 28-30 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3,6-10,12-14 of copending Application No. 09/428,839. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 28-30 recited different light sources that can be used to measure absorption of a liquid sample. These are common light sources that are known in the art of measuring absorption of liquid samples.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/723,823

-'Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 703-306-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

SPS

October 20, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700